UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,667	03/25/2004	Jens Feierabend	P06104US2	6213
34082 ZARLEY LAW	7590 10/15/200 7 FIRM P.L.C.	EXAMINER		
CAPITAL SQUARE			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
400 LOCUST, SUITE 200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<i>F</i>	Application No.				
Office Action Summary	10/808,667	FEIERABEND, JENS			
Omec Action Cammary	Examiner A. Cortonoo	Art Unit			
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24-28 and 30-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24-28,30-33 and 38-41</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-37</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	.	(270 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,667

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22, 24-28, 30-33 and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,095,381 to Schwanenberg in view of US 6,089,411 to Baudin et al.

Schwanenberg shows a self-closing valve for dispensing flowable material as seen in Figs. 13 and 14, a curved membrane 5, a support segment 26 substantially in the same plane as the annular section 24, a connecting wall 4, star-shaped slits 6 and 7, a reinforcement ring 31 with openings 30, the self-closing valve is made of silicon or an elastomeric plastic material, see column 8 lines 22-25. Schwanenberg is silent about the membrane 5 having a constant thickness. Baudin shows a valve member 44, seen in Fig. 4, having a membrane 42a of constant thickness. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the valve member of Schwanenberg to be of constant thickness to facilitate manufacture or the membrane as taught by Baudin.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 34-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the device of Schwanenberg the slit valve formed on the membrane wall 5 does not open as the membrane moves from the resting position shown in Fig. 13 to the extended position shown in Fig. 14; the shape and position of the valve with respect to the connecting wall remains unchanged; Therefore, there is none or minimal torque transferred from the connecting wall to the membrane. Additional pressure applied onto the container is necessary to cause the slit valve to open, as seen in Fig. 15. The membrane moves and opens in response to the pressure applied to the content of the container not any torque transferred from the connection wall to the membrane.

In response to the applicant's arguments that the valve of Baudin is not a self-closing valve having a slitted membrane, see column 1 lines 64-67 and column 2, lines 1-13.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/808,667

Art Unit: 3754

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAC 10/4/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700